

Course Syllabus Ecology, Environment and Social Responsibility

August – December 2018

9th Semester

Lecturer

Bruno Portillo Seminario, MSc.

I. General Information

Career Type Course Requires	 : Consumer Psychology, Organizational Psychology : Required Course : Ecology, Environment and Social Responsibility : Filosofía y Ética Economía General 	Code Semester Term	: 04543 : 2018-2 : IX
Credits Start Date Finish Date Weekly hour	: 3 : August 20 : December 8 s : 4 hours		

II. Summary

This course aims to inform, and develop analytical skills about environmental phenomena and the social implications and responsibilities that it raises from companies, organizations and individuals. Following this a wide range of fundamental and applied knowledge is provided which can serve as the basis for assessment and improvement of organizational social-environmental relations. For this the course provides the main theories, concepts and background on social and environmental responsibility, environmental thinking and history, and global environmental change and its problems

III. Course Objectives

Develop analytical skills, cognitive resources and strategic skills regarding the interrelation between the environment, society, the economy and organizational performance via the provision and analytical experience:

by informing on the historical biophysical processes of local and global ecologies tracking major changes in humanity up to the contemporary global environmental crisis;

presenting and recreating the current debates and projects to solve problems and take opportunities confronting socio-environmental changes;

and explaining and exercising corporate social responsibility strategies for innovative and sustainable competitiveness.

IV. Learning Outcomes

After completing the course the student:

- 1. Will have acquired basic knowledge and concepts related to global ecology with a historical and multiple perspective from the individual, business, society and ecosystems.
- 2. Will have up-to-date knowledge on the main environmental problems and changes (from global to local), and the debates, conflicts and opportunities related to them.
- 3. Will be informed about the fundamentals on corporate environmental and social responsibility, specifically, sustainable development.
- 4. Be able to propose, discuss, and analyze corporate social responsibility strategies for sustainable organizational environmental performance, efficient and beneficial in the market.

V. Methodology

The course will be lectured in English and the students are expected to have reading, writing and oral skills at an intermediate-advanced level.

Throughout the course, the lecturer will guide the learning process with a mix of pedagogical tools including exposition via presentations and audiovisual material, a variety of class exercises and research and reading homework. The Virtual Classroom will be used for communication, coordination and as study materials repository.

The evaluation will consist on short assignments, and control examinations as scheduled below in relation to the unit contents. The control examinations will consist of written tests assessing theoretical and practical understanding of class contents.

Class participation will account for participation in the class exercises that may include answering questions, oral quizzes, and role-play, text analysis, didactic games.

A final Integral Applied Assignment will be instructed to groups (4-6 depending on class size) and will involve research on social and environmental sustainability related to a case of an organization performance, a professional or a new busines model attending specific socio-ecological problems.

VI. Evaluation

The evaluation system is permanent and comprehensive. The course grade is obtained by averaging the continuous evaluation component (PEP= 40%), the midterm exam, (EP=30%) and the final exam (EF=30%).

The average of the continuous evaluation component results from the of 3 control exams (CEs), 2 short assignments (SAs), the integral applied assignment (IAA) and class participation including exercises and quizzes. The IAA will be evaluated in three stages deliverables (Outline, Draft and Final Report) and a class presentation.

CONTINUOUS EVALUATION AVERAGE 40% (PEP)			
Evaluation type	Description	Weight %	
Short Evaluations (SE)	2 short tests and assignments	20)
Control Exams (CE)	3 CE The best graded two stand	20	20
Integral Applied Assignment (IAA)	1 research document (in 3 stages) and its final presentation	30)
Class participation	Performance in class exercises and quizzes.	1()

The weights within the continuous evaluation are described in the following table:

The final average grade (PF) is obtained through the following method:

Where:

- **PF** = Final average grade
- **EP** = Midterm exam
- **PEP** = Continuous Evaluation Average
- EF = Final exam

VII. Scheduled Contents

WEEK	CONTENTS	ACTIVITIES /ASSESSMENTS	
 LEARNING UNIT 1: ENVIRONMENTAL HISTORY AND ECOLOGICAL THOUGHT LEARNING OUTCOMES: Understanding of the social-environmental crisis to citizenship and professional life Critical basic knowledge on the historical lineages of ecological ideas and their influence in contemporary debates. 			
1° August 20 - 25	 1.1. Environmental Awareness and Environmental Education 1.2. Ecological Thought Koger, S. M., & Winter, D. D. (2010). The ps 	 Introduction: Course Presentation Roundtable discussion 	
2° August 27-	problems: Psychology for sustainability. Chap Chapter 2 (complete) 2.1. History of Socio Ecological Systems	 Class exercise: Video Reflection: Environment and pre- Columbian Peruvians 	
September 01	 Antrosio, J. (2013, January 26). Eric Wolf, Europe and the People Without History at <u>http://www.livinganthropologically.com/2013/01/26/eric-wolf-europe-people-without-history/</u> Diamond, J. M. (1998). <i>Guns, germs and steel: a short history of everybody for the last 13,000 years</i>. Random House. Chapters 4 and 10. York, R., & Mancus, P. (2007). Diamond in the Rough: Reflections on" Guns, Germs, and Steel". <i>Human Ecology Review, 14</i>(2), 157-162. 		
 LEARNING UNIT 2: GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE LEARNING OUTCOMES: Understanding of the science, effects and strategies related to Climate Change for business, states, and individuals. Understanding of the drivers, impacts and national implications of the most important environmental problems other than Climate Change. Problem analysis and evaluation of solutions facing global environmental change. 			
3° September 03 - 08	3.1. Climate change: Science 3.2. Climate change: Impacts.	 SA 1: Essay - Personal Environmental Histories. 	
	 Stevens, F. et al. (Producers) & Stevens, F. (Director) (2016) Before the Flood [Motion picture] USA: National Geographic IPCC (2013, November 21) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis Video: <u>https://youtu.be/6yiTZm0y1YA</u> IPCC (2014, March 30) Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jMIFBJYpSgM 		
4° September 10 - 15	4.1. Climate change: Policies and business.4.2. Non-climate global environmental change: Biodiversity, Deforestation	 Class exercise: Cut Your Emissions. 	

	 IPCC (2014, June 6) Climate Change 2014: Mit Video https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gDcG UNEP (2011). Keeping Track of Our Changing Rio+20 (1992-2012). Division of Early Warning United Nations Environment Programme (UNEF) 	tigation of Climate Change z1iVm6U Environment: From Rio to and Assessment (DEWA), 2), Nairobi
5° September 17 - 22	 5.1. Non-climate global environmental change: Water, Soil 5.2. Non-climate global environmental change: Cities, Air, Waste UNEP (2011). Keeping Track of Our Changing Rio+20 (1992-2012). Division of Early Warning 	Integral Applied Work Assignment (IAW) instructions Environment: From Rio to and Assessment (DEWA)
	United Nations Environment Programme (UNEF), Nairob
 LEARNING UNIT 3: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CONCEPTS AND TOOLS. LEARNING OUTCOMES: Applied and multi-perspective understanding of the concept of sustainable development with a historical basis of its construction, including conceptual lineages and current developments Analytical skills to apply the concept to assess societal initiatives 		
6°	6.1. Development, Sustainability 6.2. Sustainable Development.	 IAW Project outline submission and workshop. Control Exam 1 (CE1)
September 24 - 29	Colby, M. E. (1991). Environmental managemetric evolution of paradigms. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , <i>3</i> (3)	nent in development: the 3), 193-213
7° October	7.1. Sustainable Development Goals and other contemporary approaches.7.2. Commons theory	Class exercise: Watershed Game
01 - 06	Pelenc, J., Ballet, J., & Dedeurwaerdere, T. (2 versus strong sustainability. Brief for GSDR United	2015). Weak sustainability d Nations.
8° October 09 - 13	MID TERM EXAMS	
9° October 15 - 20	9.1. Ecosystem Services & Valuation.9.2. Footprints.	 Class exercise: Urban and periurban ecosystems valuation
	 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., & Raskin, R. G. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. <i>nature</i>, <i>387</i>(6630), 253-260. Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., & Turner, R. K. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. <i>Global environmental change</i>, <i>26</i>, 152-158. Fang, K., Heijungs, R., & de Snoo, G. (2013). The footprint family: 	

	Comparison and interaction of the ecological, footprints. <i>Revue De Métallurgi</i> doi:10.1051/metal/2013051	energy, carbon and water e, 110(1), 77-86.
LEARNING UN	IT 4: CORPORATE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOC	CIAL RESPONSIBILITY
LEARNING OU	TCOMES:	
- Acquires	the basic concepts related to organizational susta	inability/CESR with a multi-
- Understa	ands their application in corporate behavior	via specific initiatives or
overarch	ing strategies.	
 Gets info 	orms about the latest trends in the application of CE	SR proyects.
10°	10.1 CESR Introduction and definitions	Control Exam 2 (CE2)
10	10.2.Instrumental. Stakeholders and Political	 Case stakeholder
October	CSR Approaches.	analysis
22 - 27		
	- Kakabadse, N. K., Rozuel, C., & Lee-Davies,	L. (2005). Corporate social
	International Journal of Business Governance a	a conceptual review. and $Fthics 1(4) 277-302$
	- Scherer, A. G., Rasche, A., Palazzo, G., & Spic	er, A. (2016). Managing for
	political corporate social responsibility: New ch	allenges and directions for
	PCSR 2.0. Journal of Management Studies, 53	(3), 273-298.
11°	11.1.CESR Management tools	Company CESR
		charter composition.
October 29 -		IAW 60% draft
		submission and workshop
	Mazurkiewicz, P. (2004). Corporate environm	nental responsibility: Is a
	common CSR framework possible. World Bank, 2	2
100	12.1 CESP Stratagion	
12	12.1.CESR Strategies	 SA 2: Organizational Change/Consumer
November		Profile. (SE3)
05 - 10	- Cedillo Torres, C. A., Garcia-French, M., Hordijk, R., Nguyen, K., & Olup,	
	L. (2012). Four Case Studies on Corporate	Social Responsibility: Do
	Utrecht Law Review Vol. 8 No. 3 pp. 51-73 N	cial Responsibility Policy?
	<i>Cacon Law Neview</i> , vol. 0, No. 3, pp. 31-73, N	Class exercise:
13°	13.1.Ethical and green Markets	Green SWOT
		analysis.
November		
12-17	- Clark, G., Kosoris, J., Hong, L. N., & Cru	I, M. (2009). Design for
	sustainability: current trends in sustainab	ble product design and
	development. Sustainability,1(3), 409-424.	
14°	14.1.Ethical Consumers	• Control Exam 3 (CE).
		Class exercise: Case
November		roundtables
19-24	- Di Giulio, A., Fischer, D., Schäfer, M., 8	Blättel-Mink, B. (2014).
	Conceptualizing sustainable consumption:	toward an integrative
	- Szmigin, I. and Carrigan, M. (2005) Exploring	the dimensions of ethical

	consumption, European Association for Consumer Research Conference, <i>Advances in Consumer Research</i> , Vol.7,608:613 June 15-18. Goteborg, Sweden.	
15° November 26 - December 01	 15.1.Alternative Organizations 15.2.Integral Applicative Assignment session IAA Presentations & Participation Doherty, B., Haugh, H., & Lyon, F. (2014). Social Enterprises as Hybric Organizations: A Review and Research Agenda, International Journal O 	
	 Management Reviews, 16(4), 417-436. doi:10.1 Gibson-Graham, J.K. et al. (2017, February 2 Economies. Retrieved from https://the community-economies 	111/ijmr.12028 27) Cultivating Community nextsystem.org/cultivating-
16°		
December 03 - 08	FINAL EXAMS	

VIII. Bibliography

- Antrosio, J. (2013, January 26). Eric Wolf, Europe and the People Without History [Blog post]. Retrieved from http://www.livinganthropologically.com/2013/01/26/eric-wolf-europe-peoplewithout-history/
- Blackburn, William R. (2008) The sustainability handbook : the complete management guide to achieving social, economic and environmental responsibility / William R. Blackburn. Washington, D.C. : Environmental Law Institute

Carson, R. (2002). Silent spring. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

- Cedillo Torres, C. A., Garcia-French, M., Hordijk, R., Nguyen, K., & Olup, L. (2012). Four Case Studies on Corporate Social Responsibility: Do Conflicts Affect a Company's Corporate Social Responsibility Policy? *Utrecht Law Review*, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 51-73, November 2012
- Chertow, M. R. (2000). The IPAT equation and its variants. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *4*(4), 13-29.
- Clark, G., Kosoris, J., Hong, L. N., & Crul, M. (2009). Design for sustainability: current trends in sustainable product design and development. *Sustainability*, 1(3), 409-424.
- Colby, M. E. (1991). Environmental management in development: the evolution of paradigms. *Ecological Economics*, *3*(3), 193-213.
- Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., ... & Raskin, R. G. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *nature*, *387*(6630), 253-260.
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., ... & Turner, R. K. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. *Global environmental change*, *26*, 152-158.
- Di Giulio, A., Fischer, D., Schäfer, M., & Blättel-Mink, B. (2014). Conceptualizing sustainable consumption: toward an integrative framework. *Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy*, *10*(1), 45-61.
- Diamond, Jared M. (1998). *Guns, germs, and steel: the fates of human societies*. New York: W.W. Norton & Co
- Doherty, B., Haugh, H., & Lyon, F. (2014). Social Enterprises as Hybrid Organizations: A Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal Of Management Reviews*, 16(4), 417-436. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12028
- Fang, K., Heijungs, R., & de Snoo, G. (2013). The footprint family: Comparison and interaction of the ecological, energy, carbon and water footprints. *Revue De Métallurgie*, 110(1), 77-86.
- Franco Concha, P. (2007). Diagnóstico de la responsabilidad social en el Perú. Documento De Discusión Nº 15 Centro de Investigación de la Universidad del Pacífico, Lima

- Gibson-Graham, J.K. et al. (2017, February 27) Cultivating Community Economies. Retrieved from https://thenextsystem.org/cultivating-community-economies
- Kakabadse, N. K., Rozuel, C., & Lee-Davies, L. (2005). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder approach: a conceptual review. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, 1(4), 277-302.
- Keitsch, Martina. (2012) "Sustainable Design: A Brief Appraisal of its Main Concepts." Sustainable Development 20, no. 3: 180-188
- Koger, S. M., & Winter, D. D. (2011). The psychology of environmental problems: Psychology for sustainability. Psychology press.
- Kuhlman, T. & Farrington, JH. (2010). 'What is Sustainability?'. Sustainability, vol 2, no. 11, pp.
- Libiszewski, S. (1991). What is an environmental conflict. *Journal of peace research*, 28(4), 407-422.
- Manzini, E., Vezzoli, C. (2007) Product-Service Systems and Sustainability: Opportunities for Sustainable Solutions. UNEP: Paris.
- Martinez-Alier, J. (2004). Ecological distribution conflicts and indicators of sustainability. *International Journal of Political Economy*, 34(1), 13-30.
- Mazurkiewicz, P. (2004). Corporate environmental responsibility: Is a common CSR framework possible. *World Bank*, 2.
- Mc Neill, John Robert, (2000), Something New Under the Sun, Norton.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being : synthesis*. Washington, DC: Island Press
- Pelenc, J., Ballet, J., & Dedeurwaerdere, T. (2015). Weak sustainability versus strong sustainability. Brief for Global Sustainable Development Report. United Nations.
- Renner, M., Sweeney, S., Kubit, J., & Mastney, L. (2008). *Green Jobs: working for people and the environment* (Vol. 177). Worldwatch Institute.
- Robinson, Nicholas A. (2012) "Beyond sustainability: environmental management for the Anthropocene Epoch." *Journal Of Public Affairs (14723891)* 12, no. 3: 181-194.
- Scherer, A. G., Rasche, A., Palazzo, G., & Spicer, A. (2016). Managing for political corporate social responsibility: New challenges and directions for PCSR 2.0. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(3), 273-298.
- Szmigin, I. and Carrigan, M. (2005) Exploring the dimensions of ethical consumption, European Association for Consumer Research Conference, Advances in Consumer Research, Vol.7,608:613 June 15-18. Goteborg, Sweden.
- Tallontire, A., Rentsendorj, E., & Blowfield, M. (2001). *Ethical consumers and ethical trade: A review of current literature*. London: Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich.
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2011) *Keeping Track of Our Changing Environment. From Rio to Rio+20 (1992–2012)* Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP
- Wolf, Eric R. (1987). Europe and the people without history. Cambridge, Massachussets, USA.
- Worster, Donald. (1994). Nature's economy: a history of ecological ideas. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Vining, J. (2003). The connection to other animals and caring for nature. *Human Ecology Review*, *10*(2), 87-99.
- York, R., & Mancus, P. (2007). Diamond in the rough: Reflections on Guns, germs, and steel. *Human Ecology Review*, 14(2), 157.

IX. Lecturer

Bruno R. Portillo Seminario MSc. <u>bportillo@esan.edu.pe</u>

Note: Sumilla en español

Este curso tiene como objetivo informar y desarrollar habilidades analíticas sobre los fenómenos ambientales y las implicaciones sociales y responsabilidades que plantea a empresas, organizaciones e individuos. Con ello se provee una base para la evaluación y la mejora de las relaciones de una organización competitiva con el ambiente y sociedad que conforma. Para ello se abordan las principales teorías, conceptos y antecedentes sobre la responsabilidad social y ambiental, pensamiento e historia ambientales, así como sobre el cambio ambiental global y su problemática.